REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Office Action mailed March 26, 2007, Applicant amends his application and requests reconsideration. No claims are added or cancelled so that claims 1, 2, and 4-6 remain pending.

Claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 are allowed.

Claim 4 was rejected as indefinite. In response, claim 4 is amended for clarity. Amended claim 4 is supported by the description in the patent application with respect to Figures 2A-4, which are described in the patent application from page 7, line 21-page 10, line 11. As described in the patent application with respect to these figures, taken in conjunction with Figure 1, to avoid skew, two signal paths that include a pair of respective connected connector lines and outermost signal lines, the total lengths of the two signal paths are made equal. The signal path lengths are equalized by adjusting the lengths of the outermost signal lines, as described with respect to the cited four figures of the patent application.

In rejecting examined claim 4 as to form, lack of antecedent basis with respect to the term "the total lengths" appearing in line 3 of that claim 4 was amended. That part of claim 4 no longer appears. Applicant respectfully points out that the cited term does find antecedent basis in claim 1 in the third line from the end of that claim. Moreover, it is believed that the use of the same term in amended claim 4 is entirely clear since the claim defines the term "a differential pair" and explains that the total lengths of the connector line and the outermost signal line, considered together, of each of the constituents of the differential pair are of the same length to avoid skew.

Reconsideration and allowance of claim 4, which depends from a claim already allowed, along with claims 1, 2, 5 and 6, are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffrey A. Wyand, Reg. No. 29,458
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER
700 Thirteenth Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, DC 20005-3960
(202) 737-6770 (telephone)
(202) 737-6776 (facsimile)

Date: June 1, 2007
JAW/tdh